# T – Prisons

## \*\*\*1NC\*\*\*

### Shell

#### A. Interpretation – Dictionary.com defines “criminal justice system” as

A series of organizations involved in apprehending, prosecuting, defending, sentencing, and jailing those involved in crimes -including law enforcement, attorneys, judges, courts of law, prisons.

#### **B. Violation – they don’t defend rehabilitative programs within prisons.**

#### C. Offense –

1. Limits: they have no limits when it comes to rehab. They can fiat offenders doing literally anything which gives them way too much ground because they can solve for any poor aspect of society caused by the CJS. Debaters should have to defend the same criminal justice system utilizing different punishments. Prison isn’t the punishment, it’s the location – it’s what goes on inside the prison that functions as the punishment. Limits are key to fairness and education because they keep the debate centered on predictable and quality ground. If they have no limits they have a super unfair skew in these categories and thus easier access to the ballot.

#### D. Impacts –

1. Fairness is a voter because we’re trying to choose the better debater but that’s impossible if one side is skewed. It’s a gateway issue because it affects how we judge and debate substance.

2. Prefer competing interps because reasonability requires the judge to set an arbitrary brightline as to what reasonable means.

3. Reject the debater because a) debaters want to win and avoid losing, only this sends a meaningful message where they can’t kick out to benefit from a time skew and b) it’s the only way to rectify topicality debate.